

EXHIBIT 166



U.S. Department of Justice
Federal Bureau of Prisons
Correctional Programs Division

Counter Terrorism Unit

CTU Address [REDACTED]

May 19, 2008

MEMORANDUM FOR MICHAEL K. NALLEY, REGIONAL DIRECTOR
NORTH CENTRAL REGIONAL OFFICE

//s//

FROM: Leslie S. Smith, Chief, Counter Terrorism Unit

SUBJECT: Communication Management Unit (CMU) Referral
[REDACTED], Reg. No. [REDACTED]

1. Rationale for Re-designation:

[REDACTED] was convicted after a jury trial in the [REDACTED] in August 2004, of numerous bribery, identification document fraud, and unlawful transportation of illegal aliens charges, relating to his participation in a conspiracy to bribe a public official to fraudulently provide identification documents to illegal aliens. [REDACTED] had previously been convicted in September 1995 after trial in the [REDACTED] of numerous immigration and naturalization offenses stemming from his running a Turkish alien smuggling ring, and his holding a woman hostage at his home in [REDACTED]. For the 2004 conviction, he was sentenced to 235 months and 3 years supervised released.

While awaiting sentencing for his August 2004 conviction, [REDACTED] was at the [REDACTED]. During is incarceration at [REDACTED] [REDACTED] initiated a plot to kill a person who was not incarcerated to collect a debt. [REDACTED] utilized another inmate to obtain the services of a "hit man" and also used the institution telephone system to enable the murder for hire plot. Inmate [REDACTED] son, [REDACTED] who was not incarcerated at the time, was also involved in the conspiracy.

[REDACTED] and his son, at various points of the conspiracy, directed the victim and his wife be killed, and their children kidnaped, in order to recover the money owed to them.

Sensitive but Unclassified
FOIA Exempt

Communication Management Unit (CMU) Referral

, Reg. No. _____

_____ provided the name of the victim, the victim's wife, and their business address.

Pursuant to a Grand Jury subpoena, the case agent obtained numerous recordings of telephone calls from _____ made by _____ and his list of callers which included a phone number with a _____ area code which the agent knew to belong to his son, O_____. The case agent translated from the Turkish language telephone calls made by _____ to his son _____ in which they discussed the specifics of the murder for hire plot, to include the murder of the victims, kidnaping of their children and obtaining the money owed which would later be transferred to Turkey.

The translations of telephone calls revealed _____ instructed his son on several occasions to bring information to the institution and pass this information through social visiting, including addresses of the victims and their businesses. _____ was observed secreting information, such as phone numbers and addresses, in his personal Quran which remained in his possession while at _____

Telephone conversations included discussions of the plan to kill the victim, make it look like a robbery and ultimately kill both the victim and the victim's wife.

_____ was convicted of Murder for Hire and sentenced to 188 months and 3 years supervised release. Since this sentence was set to be served consecutive to his previous conviction, _____ sentence was scored as a total tie of 423 months.

Subsequent to his conviction in the plot, _____ threatened the lives of three law enforcement officers and two AUSAs. _____ intended to use the money from the above mentioned unpaid debt to fund this new murder plot. Based on these threats, _____ was placed under Special Administrative Measure (SAM) restrictions and has been incarcerated at the _____

While awaiting sentencing for the murder for hire plot, _____ used social correspondence to contact a formerly incarcerated international terrorist with ties to international drug smuggling. On December 17, 2007, _____ authored correspondence to _____. The letter was intended for inmate _____, a pre-sentence inmate who was removed from _____ on _____. The short letter indicated more correspondence would be forthcoming and provided contact information for inmate _____. Inmate _____ was a member of the _____, a group extradited from _____. The group was an international heroin trafficking organization responsible for manufacturing and distributing heroin in _____ and _____. The _____ was closely aligned with the Taliban and other Islamic extremist groups in _____. The organization collected proceeds from the sale of heroin and financially supported the Taliban. In exchange for the financial support, the Taliban provided the organization protection for its opium crops,

Sensitive but Unclassified
FOIA Exempt

Communication Management Unit (CMU) Referral

[REDACTED], Reg. No. [REDACTED]

heroin laboratories, drug transportation routes, and provided safe harbor for its members and associates.

Due to his extensive abuse of social correspondence means while incarcerated, specifically telephones, visiting and mail, [REDACTED] requires heightened controls and monitoring of his communications to preclude similar or further criminal conduct.

2. Proposed Transfer Code: This is an initial designation after sentencing.

3. CIM Assignment: Separation

4. STG Assignment: STG [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

5. Release Destination: Southern District of New York (BICE - Turkey)

6. Medical Status: Inmate [REDACTED] is a Screen 2 inmate. There are no medical concerns which would preclude his placement in CMU.

7. Does Inmate Concur With Transfer: The inmate's opinion was not solicited.

8. Additional Pertinent Information: Inmate [REDACTED] is a 64 year old, white, male. He is an IN custody inmate with HIGH security level. His release date has not yet been computed. His disciplinary history includes a sanctioned incident reports for 305 Possessing Unauthorized Item, and code 330 Being Unsanitary or Untidy.

CMU THA REVIEW

DATE: June 2, 2008

CURRENT FACILITY: BRO

CUSTODY: IN

NAME: [redacted] (P-1)

REG NO: [redacted] (P-1)

S/L: HIGH

TELEPHONE OR MAIL ABUSE I. R.(S): NONE

CIM ASSIGN: [redacted] (O)2

COMMENTS

CORRECTIONAL PROGRAMS: [redacted] (P-1) current convictions include Bribery of a Public Official, Conspiracy to Commit Fraud and Unlawful Transportation of Illegal Aliens, for which he received a sentence of 235 months. While awaiting trial on those charges, he was charged with and ultimately convicted of Murder for Hire and Conspiracy to Commit Extortion, for which he received an additional 188 months. These charges stem from his plotting to kill an individual to collect a debt. [redacted] (P-1)

[redacted] (P-1) He does not have a projected release date as yet. CTU staff are requesting placement at either CMU, and I recommend MAR as they are building their population. J. George 6/2/08

CORRECTIONAL PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATOR: *I concur with the recommendation of placement in MAR CMU.*

CORRECTIONAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATOR: *Concur Recommend MAR CMU. J. George*

EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT: *Concur with All Recommendations for MAR CMU Placement. JM St*

DEPUTY REGIONAL DIRECTOR: *Concur with Recommendation*

REGIONAL DIRECTOR: *Approved for Marion CMU
D. L. [Signature] 6/2/08*

NOTICE TO INMATE OF TRANSFER TO COMMUNICATION MANAGEMENT UNIT

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF PRISONS

Inmate Name (Last, First, Middle): (P-1)	Register Number: (P-1)
Warden (print and signature): Lisa J. W. Hollingsworth, Warden	Institution: USP Marion, Illinois

NOTICE: This notice informs you of your transfer to a Federal Bureau of Prisons (Bureau) facility that allows greater management of your communication with persons in the community through more effective monitoring of your telephone use, written correspondence, and visiting. Your communication by these methods may be limited as necessary to allow effective monitoring. Your general conditions of confinement in this unit may also be restricted as necessary to provide greater management of your communications. Your transfer to this unit, by itself, will have no effect on the length of your incarceration. You will continue to earn good-conduct sentence credit in accordance with Bureau policy.


Your transfer to this facility under these conditions is based on the following specific information:

Your offense conduct included Murder for Hire, Bribery of a Public Official, and Fraud. Some of your offenses were committed while you were incarcerated. Your institution history indicates substantial phone, mail and visiting abuse. Specifically, while incarcerated, you utilized social communication methods to conspire and orchestrate a murder for hire plot against persons in the community. Your contact with persons in the community requires heightened controls and review.

Based on this information, your transfer to this facility for greater communication management is necessary to the safe, secure, and orderly operation of Bureau institutions, or protection of the public. Your continued designation to this facility will be reviewed regularly by your Unit Team under circumstances providing you notice and an opportunity to be heard, in accordance with the Bureau's policy on Classification and Program Review of Inmates.

OPPORTUNITY TO APPEAL TRANSFER DECISION - You may appeal this transfer decision, or any conditions of your confinement, through the Bureau's Administrative Remedy Program, 28 C.F.R. §§ 542.10 through 542.19, and corresponding policy. A member of your Unit Team will provide you with the necessary form upon request.

INSTRUCTIONS TO STAFF - Provide the inmate a copy of this form and complete the following information documenting delivery.

Staff Member Name and Position (printed): J.S. Wilson	Staff Member (signature): 	Date Issued: 8/7/08
--	--	----------------------------



U.S. Department of Justice
Federal Bureau of Prisons

Correctional Programs Division

Counter Terrorism Unit

LE [REDACTED]

February 17, 2011

MEMORANDUM FOR MICHAEL K. NALLEY, REGIONAL DIRECTOR
NORTH CENTRAL REGION

FROM: //s//
Les Smith, Chief

SUBJECT: P [REDACTED], Reg. No. P [REDACTED]

The Warden at USP Marion has submitted a recommendation to redesignate inmate P [REDACTED] from the Communications Management Unit program.

The CTU concurs with this recommendation.

Inmate P [REDACTED] was recommended and approved for placement in a CMU due to the following information. P [REDACTED] was convicted of numerous bribery, identification document fraud, and unlawful transportation of illegal aliens charges, relating to his participation in a conspiracy to bribe a public official to fraudulently provide identification documents to illegal aliens. While awaiting sentencing for this conviction, P [REDACTED] was at MCC New York (NYM), where he initiated a plot to kill a person who was not incarcerated to collect a debt. P [REDACTED] utilized another inmate to obtain the services of a "hit man" and also used the institution telephone system to enable the murder for hire plot. Inmate P [REDACTED] son, P [REDACTED], who was not incarcerated at the time, was also involved in the conspiracy.

Inmate P [REDACTED] is a MEDIUM security inmate with IN custody. He is a LE [REDACTED] inmate. He has the CMC assignment of LE [REDACTED], and the STG assignments of: LE [REDACTED].

While in the CMU program at MAR CMU, since August 4, 2008, inmate P [REDACTED] has

- FOIA Exempt -

Sensitive But Unclassified

BOP CMU 076129

not incurred any incident reports relating to communications, nor has any information been discovered which would indicate attempts to circumvent communication monitoring procedures.

A check with outside law enforcement agencies through BOP representatives on the NJTTF has indicated **LE, DP** [REDACTED]

The CTU concurs with the Warden's recommendation to transfer inmate **P** [REDACTED] from the CMU program.

Therefore, if approved for redesignation from MAR CMU, is it recommended inmate **P** [REDACTED] be re-designated to MAR GP for no less than 6 months, as a step-down process from the CMU, as outlined in current CMU procedures.

- FOIA Exempt -

Sensitive But Unclassified

BOP CMU 076130

CMU REFERRAL

DATE: February 18, 2011

FACL: MAR

CUSTODY: IN

NAME: (P-1)

REG NO. (P-1)

S/L: MEDIUM

PHONE OR MAIL ABUSE I.R: N/A

CIM ASSIGN: (O)2

---COMMENTS---

CORRECTIONAL PROGRAMS (P-1) was transferred to MAR CMU on August 4, 2008. He was approved for transfer to CMU based on (P-1) (P-1)

(P-1)

(P-1)

has the following STG assignments:

(O)1

(O)1

During his confinement at MAR CMU he has not attempted to circumvent the approved communication methods. He has maintained clear conduct, established good rapport with staff, and completed five ACE/Education courses. USP MAR in concurrence with CTU have recommended (P-1) be transferred out of the CMU program to MAR GP as a step-down process from the CMU for no less than six months. M. X. Sedillo *ms*

CORRECTIONAL PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATOR: *Based on his overall positive adjustment, I concur with his step-down to the open component GP.*

PSYCHOLOGY SERVICES ADMINISTRATOR: *No MH issues noted to impact consideration for transition from CMU to GP. Little information available to review risk factors - 2 years in SOP & CMU, no MH issues - designation to a Medic instead of a High may be worth review. *2/23**

CORRECTIONAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATOR: *NO concerns w/ removal from CMU.*

EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT: *Concur with recommendation for transfer out of CMU to GP.*

SENIOR DEPUTY REGIONAL DIRECTOR: *Concur with recommendation for transfer to general population at USP Maricopa.*

REGIONAL DIRECTOR:

*Concur w/ recommendation for GP at USP Maricopa. *3/7/11**



U.S. Department of Justice
Federal Bureau of Prisons

Correctional Programs Division

Counter Terrorism Unit

STG [REDACTED]

August 22, 2011

MEMORANDUM FOR MICHAEL K. NALLEY, REGIONAL DIRECTOR
NORTH CENTRAL REGIONAL OFFICE

FROM: //S - D. Schiavone, Senior Intelligence Analyst - for //
Leslie S. Smith, Chief, Counter Terrorism Unit

SUBJECT: Communications Management Unit (CMU) Referral
[REDACTED], Reg. No. [REDACTED]

1. Rationale for Re-designation:

Inmate [REDACTED] was approved for placement in a CMU and arrived at MAR CMU on August 4, 2008. He was subsequently approved for step-down from the CMU and transferred to MAR GP on March 9, 2011, in order to begin his 6 month step down period.

Prior to successfully completing his 6 month step down period, inmate [REDACTED] received an incident report for code 397, Phone Abuse, for which he was found guilty of circumventing communication monitoring by trying to pass information to his son, an inmate in BOP custody and with whom inmate [REDACTED] has repeatedly been denied permission to communicate.

Based on this violation of communication monitoring policies and procedures, and coupled with his previous incarceration and offense conduct history, it is recommended inmate [REDACTED] be returned to the CMU in order to appropriately and effectively monitor his communications in order to preclude illicit actions by the inmate.

Incarceration Conduct:

On August 8, 2011, at approximately 11:00 a.m., inmate [REDACTED] placed a telephone call to his son, [REDACTED] at phone number [REDACTED], located in [REDACTED]. The conversation was translated from Turkish. During the call,

Communications Management Unit (CMU) Referral

[REDACTED], Reg. No. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] indicated he had information from inmate [REDACTED] to be passed to inmate [REDACTED] however, did not have the information with him at the time and asked his father to call back later in the day. At approximately 3:30 p.m., inmate [REDACTED] again placed a telephone call to his son, [REDACTED] who then passed information provided by another son, inmate [REDACTED]. In response, inmate [REDACTED] provided information which he directed [REDACTED] to pass back to [REDACTED].

Inmates [REDACTED] and his son, [REDACTED] currently housed at [REDACTED], have repeatedly been denied authorization to communicate. The reasoning for the denial of this communication centers on the offense conduct for which both were convicted.

Specifically, while awaiting sentencing for an August 2004 conviction, inmate [REDACTED] was housed at the [REDACTED]. During his incarceration at [REDACTED], inmate [REDACTED] initiated a plot to kill a person who was not incarcerated, in order to collect a debt. Inmate [REDACTED] utilized another inmate to obtain the services of a "hit man" and also used the institution telephone system to enable the murder for hire plot. Inmate [REDACTED] son, [REDACTED] who was not incarcerated at the time, was involved in the conspiracy, coordinating efforts in the community to carry out the plot.

Subsequent to his conviction in the plot, [REDACTED] threatened the lives of three law enforcement officers and two AUSAs. [REDACTED] intended to use the money from the above mentioned unpaid debt to fund this new murder plot. Based on these threats, [REDACTED] was placed under Special Administrative Measure (SAM) restrictions and later incarcerated at the [REDACTED].

Inmate [REDACTED] is currently in a six month step down phase from the CMU, while inmate [REDACTED] is currently in a twelve month step down phase from the [REDACTED].

Inmate [REDACTED] was issued an Incident Report for code 397, Abuse of the Telephone, and was adjudicated guilty. He was subsequently placed in Administrative Detention pending review for possible return to CMU.

Offense Conduct:

[REDACTED] was convicted after a jury trial in the [REDACTED] in August 2004, of numerous bribery, identification document fraud, and unlawful transportation of illegal aliens charges, relating to his participation in a conspiracy to bribe a public official to fraudulently provide identification documents to illegal aliens. [REDACTED] had previously been convicted in September 1995 after trial in the [REDACTED] of

Communications Management Unit (CMU) Referral

[REDACTED], Reg. No. [REDACTED]

numerous immigration and naturalization offenses stemming from his running a Turkish alien smuggling ring, and his holding a woman hostage at his home in [REDACTED]. For the 2004 conviction, he was sentenced to 235 months and 3 years supervised release.

While awaiting sentencing for his August 2004 conviction, [REDACTED] was at the [REDACTED]. During his incarceration at [REDACTED], [REDACTED] initiated a plot to kill a person who was not incarcerated to collect a debt. [REDACTED] utilized another inmate to obtain the services of a "hit man" and also used the institution telephone system to enable the murder for hire plot. Inmate [REDACTED] son, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] who was not incarcerated at the time, was also involved in the conspiracy.

[REDACTED] and his son, at various points of the conspiracy, directed the victim and his wife be killed, and their children kidnaped, in order to recover the money owed to them. [REDACTED] provided the name of the victim, the victim's wife, and their business address.

G (LE)
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] was convicted of Murder for Hire and sentenced to 188 months and 3 years supervised release. Since this sentence was set to be served consecutive to his previous conviction, [REDACTED] sentence was scored as a total tie of 423 months.

Subsequent to his conviction in the plot, [REDACTED] [REDACTED] threatened the lives of three law enforcement officers and two AUSAs. [REDACTED] intended to use the money from the above mentioned unpaid debt to fund this new murder plot. Based on these threats, [REDACTED] was placed under Special Administrative Measure (SAM) restrictions and has been incarcerated at the [REDACTED]

Communications Management Unit (CMU) Referral

[REDACTED], Reg. No. [REDACTED]

While awaiting sentencing for the murder for hire plot, [REDACTED] used social correspondence to contact a formerly incarcerated international terrorist with ties to international drug smuggling. On December 17, 2007, [REDACTED] authored correspondence to [REDACTED]. The letter was intended for inmate [REDACTED] a pre-sentence inmate who was removed from [REDACTED] on [REDACTED]. The short letter indicated more correspondence would be forthcoming and provided contact information for inmate [REDACTED]. Inmate [REDACTED] was a member of the [REDACTED], a group extradited from Afghanistan. The group was an international heroin trafficking organization responsible for manufacturing and distributing heroin in [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. The [REDACTED] was closely aligned with the Taliban and other Islamic extremist groups in [REDACTED]. The organization collected proceeds from the sale of heroin and financially supported the Taliban. In exchange for the financial support, the Taliban provided the organization protection for its opium crops, heroin laboratories, drug transportation routes, and provided safe harbor for its members and associates.

Due to his extensive abuse of social correspondence means while incarcerated, specifically telephones, visiting and mail, [REDACTED] requires heightened controls and monitoring of his communications to preclude similar or further criminal conduct.

2. Proposed Transfer Code: 324, Program Participation

3. CiM Assignment: Separation

4. STG Assignment: STG [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

5. Release Destination: Southern District of New York (ICE - Turkey)

6. Medical Status: Inmate [REDACTED] is a Screen 1 / Care-1 MH inmate. There are no medical concerns which would preclude his placement in CMU.

7. Does Inmate Concur With Transfer: The inmate's opinion was not solicited.

8. Additional Pertinent Information: Inmate [REDACTED] is a 67 year old, white, male. He is an IN custody inmate with MEDIUM security level. His release date is August 18, 2032. His disciplinary history includes the following sanctioned incident reports.

08-20-2011 2199620 397 Phone Abuse - No Circumvention

04-02-2007 1582515 305 Possessing Unauthorized Item

11-15-2003 1161754 330 Being Unsanitary or Untidy

CMU REFERRAL

DATE: September 8, 2011	FACL: MAR	CUSTODY: IN
NAME: (P-1)	REG NO: (P-1)	S/L: MEDIUM
PHONE OR MAIL ABUSE I.R.: 08/11 PHONE ABUSE - NO CIRCUMVENTION (397)		
CIM ASSIGN: (O)2		

---COMMENTS---

CORRECTIONAL PROGRAMS: On March 8, 2011, (P-1) was approved for transfer out of MAR CMU. He was originally approved for transfer to MAR CMU on August 4, 2008, based on initiating a plot to kill a person while he was awaiting sentencing at NYM. (P-1)

(P-1) Recently, on August 20, 2011, while in the 6-month step down period, (P-1) was found to have committed the prohibited act of phone abuse, code 397. Specifics indicate (P-1) BOP custody and with whom inmate (P-1) has repeatedly been denied permission to communicate. (P-1) Their denial of permission to communicate with each other centers on the offense conduct for which both were convicted.

(P-1) has the following STG assignments: (O)1 (O)1 CTU has recommended (P-1) be returned to MAR CMU. P. Pottios

CORRECTIONAL PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATOR: *While in the step down program, the inmate circumvented the communication restriction placed on him. Recommend placement back in CMU. P. Pottios*

PSYCHOLOGY SERVICES ADMINISTRATOR: *IM has no known MH history or issues which would impact his placement into a CMU. IM (P-1) The nature of the info exchange is not clear. If the BOP desires to prevent family members from communicating - placement into a CMU is an ideal method to accomplish this plan. IM recently declared a hunger strike as a protest - the basis of the hunger strike was not deemed to be related to any MH issue/condition.*

CORRECTIONAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATOR: *Concur fully, recommend placement back into CMU. P. Pottios 9/14/11*

EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT: *Based upon unsuccessful step down program completion, recommend placement back into the CMU. P. Pottios 9/15/11*

SENIOR DEPUTY REGIONAL DIRECTOR: *Concur with recommendation for return placement to CMU based upon recent incident of circumventing telephone security procedure. P. Pottios 9/15/11*

REGIONAL DIRECTOR: *Concur w/ recommendation for CMU placement. P. Pottios 9/15/11*



U.S. Department of Justice
Federal Bureau of Prisons

Correctional Programs Division

Counter Terrorism Unit

LE [REDACTED]

June 18, 2012

MEMORANDUM FOR PAUL M. LAIRD, REGIONAL DIRECTOR
NORTH CENTRAL REGION

FROM: //s//
Les Smith, Chief

SUBJECT: Recommendation for Re-Designation from a Communications
Management Unit
P [REDACTED], Reg. No. P [REDACTED]

The Warden at USP Marion has submitted a recommendation to redesignate inmate P [REDACTED] from the Communications Management Unit program.

The CTU concurs with this recommendation.

Inmate P [REDACTED] was recommended and approved for placement in a CMU due to the following information. P [REDACTED] was convicted of numerous bribery, identification document fraud, and unlawful transportation of illegal aliens charges, relating to his participation in a conspiracy to bribe a public official to fraudulently provide identification documents to illegal aliens. While awaiting sentencing for this conviction, P [REDACTED] was at MCC New York (NYM), where he initiated a plot to kill a person who was not incarcerated to collect a debt. P [REDACTED] utilized another inmate to obtain the services of a "hit man" and also used the institution telephone system to enable the murder for hire plot. Inmate P [REDACTED] son, P [REDACTED], who was not incarcerated at the time, was also involved in the conspiracy.

Inmate P [REDACTED] was approved for placement in a CMU and arrived at MAR CMU on August 4, 2008. He was subsequently approved for step-down from the CMU and transferred to MAR GP on March 9, 2011, in order to begin his 6 month step down period. Prior to successfully completing his 6 month step down period, inmate

- FOIA Exempt -

Sensitive But Unclassified

BOP CMU 076131

P [REDACTED] received an incident report for code 397, Phone Abuse, for which he was found guilty of circumventing communication monitoring by trying to pass information to his son, an inmate in BOP custody and with whom inmate P [REDACTED] has repeatedly been denied permission to communicate. Inmate P [REDACTED] was subsequently approved for return to a CMU and was placed in MAR CMU on October 5, 2011.

While at MAR CMU, P [REDACTED] has expressed in his communications and interaction with staff that he has been at odds with the inmate Muslim community. P [REDACTED] has indicated he would not follow the direction of the unsanctioned Muslim inmate leadership. During the first week of May 2012, MAR staff placed a Muslim inmate in the Special Housing Unit pending investigation. This inmate immediately declared a hunger strike and convinced the other Muslim inmates to refrain from eating both the morning and noon meals on the following day. Inmate P [REDACTED], however, was observed eating these meals in a defiant separation from the main Muslim group. Further, inmate

LE

[REDACTED] Routinely, inmate LE [REDACTED], and has been ostracized by other inmates due to his familiarity with staff and for not supporting the views and practices of the main Muslim inmate group.

Inmate P [REDACTED] is a MEDIUM security inmate with IN custody. He is a LE [REDACTED]. He has the CMC assignment of LE [REDACTED] and the STG assignments of: LE [REDACTED].

While in the CMU program at MAR CMU, since returning on October 5, 2011, inmate P [REDACTED] has not incurred any incident reports relating to communications, nor has any information been discovered which would indicate attempts to circumvent communication monitoring procedures.

A check with outside law enforcement agencies through BOP representatives on the NJTTF has indicated LE, DP [REDACTED].

The CTU concurs with the Warden's recommendation to transfer inmate P [REDACTED] from the CMU program.

- FOIA Exempt -

Sensitive But Unclassified

BOP CMU 076132

CMU REFERRAL

DATE: June 21, 2012	FACTL: MAR	CUSTODY: IN
NAME: (P-1)	REG NO. (P-1)	S/L: MED
PHONE OR MAIL ABUSE I.R.: 08/11 Phone Abuse - No Circumvention (397)		
CIM ASSIGN: (O)2		

---COMMENTS---

CORRECTIONAL PROGRAMS: (P-1) was transferred to MAR CMU on August 4, 2008. He was approved for transfer to CMU based on initiating a plot to kill a person while he was awaiting sentencing at NYM (P-1)

(P-1)

(P-1) has the following STG assignments (O)1

(O)1 On March 9, 2011, (P-1)

was removed from the CMU to MAR GP for the step-down process. However, he attempted to circumvent the monitoring process by attempting to (P-1) BOP. He returned to CMU on October 6, 2011.

Since the aforementioned incident, he has maintained clear conduct, established good rapport with staff, and has distanced himself from the Muslim community. He has not completed any ACE/Education courses since his last placement in GP. CTU concurs with this recommendation. MAR staff have recommended (P-1) be transferred out of the CMU program to MAR GP. CTU concurs with this recommendation. P. Pottios

[Signature]

CORRECTIONAL PROGRAMS ADMINISTRATOR: *Based on his positive adjustment and no further attempts to circumvent monitoring, I concur with placement in step-down.* *[Signature]*

PSYCHOLOGY SERVICES ADMINISTRATOR: *No MH issues noted in available documents to impact conviction of the IM for Plaintiff into a GP setting. He appears to have met all expectations to transition since his IR in 2011. Close monitoring of his communication is still relevant which can be accomplished in the GP at MAR.*

CORRECTIONAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATOR: *Based on a review of information provided, disciplinary history and CTU recommendations I concur with the transfer out of CMU to a step down program.*

EXECUTIVE ASSISTANT: *Concur with transfer out of CMU based on CTU's recommendation and the institution's recommendation.* *[Signature]*

SENIOR DEPUTY REGIONAL DIRECTOR: *Concur with recommendation for transfer out of CMU to MAR GP as part of step down process.*

Amel K. Wilson SDRD 6/23/12

REGIONAL DIRECTOR: *Concur w/ recommendation* *[Signature]*